

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,084	04/06/2001	Isabelle Bara	05725.0853	2725
75	90 08/14/2002			
Finnegan Heno	derson Farabow		EXAMI	NER
Garrett & Dunne 1300 I Street NV	er		METZMAIER, DANIEL S	
Washington, DO	20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	11
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			HSC
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_	09/763,084	BARA, ISABELLE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaie		
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the cover s	neet with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this company.	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statutory minim n statutory period will apply and will expire SIX apply will, by statute, cause the application to be the after the mailing date of this communication	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication acome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
1) Responsive to communication(s)) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is non-fina		
3) Since this application is in condi- closed in accordance with the pr	tion for allowance except for for ractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	nal matters, prosecution as to the merits i 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1 and 26-88</u> is/are pend		ion	
4a) Of the above claim(s) i	s/are withdrawn from considerat	юн.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to		uirement	
8) Claim(s) <u>1 and 26-88</u> are subject	to restriction and/or election rec	un ement.	
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a		to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a) approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	e required in reply to this Office acti		
12) The oath or declaration is objecte			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None			
1. Certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been recei		
2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have been recei	ved in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified cop	oies of the priority documents ha International Bureau (PCT Rule 1	ve been received in this National Stage 7.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office a			ıtion).
		U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	,-
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	n language provisional application aim for domestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	🗖	Later day Common (DTO 442) Papar No(a)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviols Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 	ew (PTO-948) 5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	-·

Application/Control Number: 09/763,084

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 26-88 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1 and 26-86, drawn to a solid aqueous gel.

Group II, claim(s) 87-88, are drawn to a method of making up.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The phrase "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art". The reference to Kauffmann et al, US 5,286,755, (abstract and column 1, line 59 to column 2, line 6) teaches the formation of aqueous gels reading on claim 26 and direct is evidence that the invention is not a contribution over the art when considered as a whole as claimed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/763,084

Art Unit: 1712

Election of species

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: the specification lists a number of hydrophilic gelling agents for use in the solid aqueous gels, which are not a contribution over the art when considered as a whole.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: the claims list a number of hydrophilic gelling agents that include different structures including polysaccharides, proteins, synthetic and semi-synthetic polyetster gels, poly(meth)acrylates, and derivatives.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,084

Art Unit: 1712

A review of Kauffmann et al, US 5,286,755, shows that not all hydrophilic gelling agents are equally useful since Kauffmann et al uses the further propylene glycol alginate as a hydrophilic gelling agents in combination with a suitable polyethylene glycol. Kauffamnn et al employs divinylbenzylidene-ose compounds in combination with sulfosuccinate hardening agents. Kauffmann et al is clear evidence that the listed hydrophilic gelling agents employed in a solid aqueous gel in combination with a polyethylene glycol having 12 to 180 molar units of oxyethylene when considered as a whole are not a contribution over the art as at least claimed in claim 26.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 26-86. While the claims limit subspecies to species, said claims do not limit the genus and therefore read on the remaining species in the genus.

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: all hydrophilic gelling agents do not have the same utilities or the same gel strengths. Specific examples incude anionic gelling agents that have irritable anionic groups or varied strength naturally derived gelling agents.
- 6. This election is being mailed for applicants' full consideration. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 09/763,084

Art Unit: 1712

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (703) 308-0451. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Daniel S. Metzmaier Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

DSM August 12, 2002